

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/IB2005/050484

<b>A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b> IPC 7 G08C23/02 G08C19/28		
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC		
<b>B. FIELDS SEARCHED</b> Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 G08C		
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched		
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal		
<b>C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT</b>		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 6 563 430 B1 (KEMINK JOOST ET AL) 13 May 2003 (2003-05-13)	29
Y	column 3, line 1 - line 30  column 3, line 61 - line 67 column 4, line 65 - column 5, line 5 column 5, line 28 - line 35 column 5, line 63 - column 6, line 10 column 7, line 6 - line 10 column 7, line 32 - line 43 ----- -/--	1,2,5, 10, 12-16, 19,20, 23,24, 26,30
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Patent family members are listed in annex.		
* Special categories of cited documents : *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. *&* document member of the same patent family		
Date of the actual completion of the international search  24 June 2005		Date of mailing of the international search report  06/07/2005
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016		Authorized officer  Lamadie, S

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/IB2005/050484

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	WO 01/35368 A (SIEMENS AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT; NEUBAUER, WERNER; VOELKSEN, GERD) 17 May 2001 (2001-05-17)  page 2, line 11 - line 17 page 4, line 12 - line 17 page 5, line 20 -----	1,2,5, 10, 12-16, 19,20, 23,24, 26,30
X	REHA CIVANLAR M: "Protocols for real-time multimedia data transmission over the Internet" ACOUSTICS, SPEECH AND SIGNAL PROCESSING, 1998. PROCEEDINGS OF THE 1998 IEEE INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON SEATTLE, WA, USA 12-15 MAY 1998, NEW YORK, NY, USA, IEEE, US, vol. 6, 12 May 1998 (1998-05-12), pages 3809-3812, XP010279676 ISBN: 0-7803-4428-6 page 3810, paragraph 4.1 page 3811, column 1, line 20 - line 25 -----	27

**FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210**

Continuation of Box II.2

Claims Nos.: 31

Claim 31 includes the expression "substantially as hereinbefore described with reference, and as illustrated in, the accompanying drawing".

Therefore, claim 31 does not fulfil the requirement of Rule 6.2(a) PCT.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/IB2005/050484

### Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☒ Claims Nos.: 31  
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:  
see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

### Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

#### Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/IB2005/050484

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 6563430	B1	13-05-2003	CN 1288540 A 21-03-2001
		DE 69915588 D1 22-04-2004	
		DE 69915588 T2 03-02-2005	
		WO 0017737 A1 30-03-2000	
		EP 1046097 A1 25-10-2000	
		JP 2002525942 T 13-08-2002	
WO 0135368	A	17-05-2001	WO 0135368 A2 17-05-2001